



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## A CORRECTION

In a review of the second edition of JOHANNSEN'S *Elemente der exakten Erblichkeitslehre* in the last number of this journal (BOT. GAZ. 57:239. 1914), I made a very stupid error for which I wish to apologize to Dr. JOHANNSEN and to the botanical public. From the preface, in which JOHANNSEN disclaims being a "pure Weismannian," and from the sympathetic treatment of SEMON in one of the chapters on the influence of environment, I obtained the idea that he retained a Lamarckian attitude, although disclaiming belief in experimental proof of the inheritance of acquired characters. Oddly enough, other readers of the book gained the same impression, as I learned from various conversations. This phase of the subject being necessarily somewhat ancient biological history I passed it by rather hurriedly. Recently I have read the two chapters concerned carefully, and find that JOHANNSEN is even more of a Weismannian in his essential principles than I had thought. His wish not to be considered as a "pure Weismannian" evidently rests entirely upon his opposition to WEISMANN'S morphological conceptions, as I stated in the review.—E. M. EAST.

## A CORRECTION

The new combination *Lobaria oregana* (Tuck.), made in BOT. GAZ. 56:497. 1913, I find was published in *Flora* 47:364. 1889, by MÜLLER, and therefore stands *Lobaria oregana* (Tuck.) Müll. Arg.—R. HEBER HOWE, JR.